WHERE PROTECTION	
Street Vergener	
FLORIDA	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS RE-INSPECTION (F		Y (CI)
AIRS ID#: 1150061 DATE: <u>09/21/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>~7:15 am</u>	DEPART: <u>~8:15 am</u>
FACILITY NAME: VENICE YARD		
FACILITY LOCATION: 210 Rich St		
VENICE 342	92-3145	
	E: Margaret Chovalski (sp?) PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile: /17/2013 nd date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STA		Г Non-COMPLIANCE
DADT H. ONGITE INTRODUCTORY MEET	NNC	_
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEET           1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Dave D		(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Brief Notes:	<u>ube</u>	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still MICHA If no, who is?: <u>Margaret Chovalski (sp?)</u>	EL MAHONEY?	Yes 🖾No
If different, did the facility provide an admini 3. Is the facility contact still DAVE DUBE? If no, who is?:	strative update within 30 days?	Yes XNo YesNo YesNo
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during a If yes, was the compliance authority notified a		

## **Emissions Unit Section** <u>1 –cement storage silo subject to 5% Opacity Limit</u>

PART I:       FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION         1.       Date of last inspection:       9/10/2008	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)
<ul> <li>2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li> <li>c. If for the provide the performed within the current calendar year?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A</li> <li>d. Date of last VE test: 8/24/2010</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No
<ul><li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li><li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li><li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>26</u> tons/hour</li></ul>	$\boxtimes$ Yes $\boxtimes$ Yes	D No No
<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	D No No
<ul> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test? If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	Xes Yes	🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check $\blacksquare$ box for each c	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🛛 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li></ul>	Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		ection.
<ul> <li>f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour</li> <li>g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?</li> </ul>	Yes	□ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to (1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?		🗌 No
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rat duration?	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minute</li> <li>h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which</li> </ul>		
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust colle conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration?	ector Ves	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute</li> <li>2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?</li> <li>a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?</li> <li>b. The visible emission test regulated in an ensuity of the bishest sin minute ensuits of the bishest sin minute ensuits.</li> </ul>	S. Ves Yes	⊠ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No

## Emissions Unit Section 2 –new cement silo (3/17/2005) subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I:       FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION         1.       Date of last inspection:       9/10/2008	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)
<ul> <li>2. Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests:</li> <li>a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years?</li> <li>b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year?</li> <li>c. If forst year of constraint was a VE test performed within 20 days of commencing.</li> </ul>	Yes Yes	□ No ⊠ No
<ul> <li>c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing operation? N/A</li> <li>d. Date of last VE test: 8/24/2010</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No
<ul><li>e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test?</li><li>f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing?</li><li>g. What was the actual silo loading rate? <u>26</u> tons/hour</li></ul>	⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? X/A</li> <li>i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing?</li></ul>	Yes Yes	□ No □ No
<ul> <li>k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE test?         If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other		
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?	Yes	🖂 No
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>If not, what was the problem (if known)?</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo co		
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? Yes No N/A – silo not load e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?		No
<ul> <li>f. What was the silo loading rate? tons/hour</li> <li>g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?</li> </ul>	Yes	□ No
If YES, then continue on to questions $g(1) - g(3)$ below. If answer NO, then skip $g(1) - g(3)$ and go to 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	h	□ No
<ul><li>2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate duration?</li></ul>	te and	□ No
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour . What was the batching duration? minu	tes	
h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector which from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust coll		
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? minute		🗌 No
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □ No
<ul> <li>b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.</li> <li>c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?</li> <li>d. What was the process rate? tons/hour.</li> </ul>	Yes	🗌 No

## Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
	box for each	
<ol> <li>Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:         <ul> <li>a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?</li> <li>b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?</li> <li>c. 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	🛛 Yes 🖂 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
<ol> <li>Does this facility include:         <ul> <li>a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?</li> </ul> </li> </ol>		🛛 No
<ul> <li>b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?</li> <li>If YES, what other general permit units or activities?</li> </ul>		🛛 No
<ul> <li>3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:</li> <li>a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?</li> <li>b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?</li> <li>c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?</li> <li>d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?</li> <li>e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?</li> </ul>	🛛 Yes 🖾 Yes 🖾 Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
520 gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yrMM gal propa275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propa		
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		🗌 No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	🖂 No
<ul> <li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li></ul>		
<ul><li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li><li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access</li></ul>	- 🛛 Yes	□ No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	(check 🗹 only one
1. Is the facility: stationary $\boxtimes$ ; relocatable $\square$ ; or consisting of both station	box for each question)
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If only</i>	
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and	
soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	Yes No
(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)	
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior	
b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business da c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification F	
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five busin	
<ol> <li>If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air cor and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that</li> </ol>	
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (	
If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was	
co-located at the permitted facility?	Yes No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	Yes No
CHANGES	(check 🗹 only one
CHANGES	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
Administrative Changes:	box for each question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fac associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of th	box for each question) cility or authorized representative not the facility or any emissions units or
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the factors associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration of the similar minor admi	box for each question) cility or authorized representative not le facility or any emissions units or tive change at the facility? X Yes No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the fac associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of th	box for each question) cility or authorized representative not le facility or any emissions units or tive change at the facility? X Yes No
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the face associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrat</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been</li> </ul>	box for each question) cility or authorized representative not the facility or any emissions units or tive change at the facility?
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the face associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administration?</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul>	box for each question) cility or authorized representative not the facility or any emissions units or tive change at the facility? Xes No change? Yes No
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the face associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrate.</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul>	box for each question) cility or authorized representative not the facility or any emissions units or tive change at the facility? Yes No change? Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No No No No No No No No No
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the face associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrat</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul>	box for each question) cility or authorized representative not the facility or any emissions units or tive change at the facility? Yes No change? Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No No No No No No No No No
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the face associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrate.</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li></ul>	box for each question) cility or authorized representative not the facility or any emissions units or tive change at the facility? \overline Yes \overline No e change? \overline Yes \overline No \overline Yes \overline No
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the face associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrate.</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the <u>New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership</u>:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul>	box for each question) cility or authorized representative not the facility or any emissions units or tive change at the facility? \overline Yes \overline No e change? \overline Yes \overline No \overline Yes \overline No
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the face associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrate.</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li></ul>	box for each question) cility or authorized representative not the facility or any emissions units or tive change at the facility? \overline Yes \overline No e change? \overline Yes \overline No \overline Yes \overline No
<ul> <li><u>Administrative Changes</u>:</li> <li>1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the face associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrate.</li> <li>2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> <li>3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?</li></ul>	box for each question) cility or authorized representative not the facility or any emissions units or tive change at the facility? \overline Yes \overline No e change? \overline Yes \overline No \overline Yes \overline No \overline Yes \overline No Hy different? \overline Yes \overline No \overline Yes \overline No

12/31/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** INS2. EU-001 cement storage silo connection broken off of loading arm, need to to replace prior to loading and test. NEEDS repair to transfer drop tube. ICE: Komatsu WA 32d, date: 2004